G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 223-10

Serial Nos. 1-8

1910.

(Courts)

Later references.

cis 363-14 (1622)
G. 4 66-34 & 19,50
" 23-36 & 4.7.18.25.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–8

Part B for Feb. 14

SUBJECT.

Supply of laced belts to the Daffedars of the Court of the Dy. and Sub judges.

---

1) From the Registrar, Chief Court, no 4108/261 & 4.3.11
Requests sanction to the supply in question being made.

2) To the same officer no 438 & 6.7.11
Calls for a specimen of the belts asked for.

3) From the same officer no 346/22 & 18.7.11
Forwards the specimen required.

4) To the ~~Dy~~ Regr. Chief Court no 1036 & 21-9-11

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

4. Enquiries of the present practice

5. To the Revenue Comr. no J 1037 dt 21.9.11

Enquiries whether the Jamadars of the D.Co are supplied with laced belts.

6. From the Registrar, Chief Court, no 15772/127 dt 12.10.11

States that the Daffedars of the Dt. & Sub Courts are using laced belts

7. From the Revenue Comr. no 3897 dt 20/10/11

Furnishes the required information

8. To the Registrar, Chief Court & Comptroller no J 2294-5/~~150~~ dt 12.2.14

Recognises the existing practice of subsidising laced belts to new Daffedars of Dt & Sub Courts.

C. 33
22. 7. 1911.

G.R.S. 11] 19[illegible]-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

223-10.
C18 File No. ~~194~~

FILE HEADING.

Supply of belts and badges to the menials of Sub Offices

From

The Registrar of the Chief Court

No. 4100/261 Dated 6.3.11 Received 13.3.11

Diary No. ~~2418~~ 3594 Enclos. – Spare Copies – Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction for the supply of the two Addl. Judges' and the three Sub Judges' Courts being supplied with belts in accordance with the scale prescribed in G.O. No. S.6787-919 G. 16.5.08.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1. The question of specifying the Govt. Offices the daffedars of which are to be supplied with ~~belts~~ of the description mentioned in clause (4) of App. B. to the G.O. of 16th May 1908 is now under consideration. The disposal of the

× Pun 194-10

GPB—30,000 - 3-4-07.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

~~File No. 194~~ Cto File 223 of 1910-11

Serial No.

3874

present refce may perhaps await its decision. ~~[illegible]~~ If however this may be dealt with separately, the opinion of Br. III may be invited as to the necessity for supplying the menials referred to with brass belts. The matter is proper to be dealt with in Br. III itself as the proposed expenditure is are to be met from the Courts budget.

Sd. 114 Secs (8) 2
21-3-11

Br III may, if there is no objn, deal with this file taking the opinion of Br V if considered necessary. This may be disposed of without waiting for the general question referred to.

Sd Br III

Sd
24.3.11

3)

Subd. There is only one Daffedar in each of the Courts of two District Judges and three Subjudges. The total cost will not therefore exceed Rs 13½ x 5 = Rs 67-8-0. It is presumed that the cost will be met out of the Contingent allotments of the respective Courts

Subd for orders. Br V may kindly be consulted if necessary

Secs (22)
27.3.11

To Br V for favour of opinion

Sd
28/3/11

SW(11)
28/3/11

GPB—55,0.11—27-4-09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

No File No. 225 of 1910-

Serial No.

Revs No 174 of 1910-11
9

5

If Br III is satisfied of the necessity for supplying the menial servants as proposed by the Ch Court in question with laced belts Br V sees no objection to the proposal being sanctioned If III4 provided that the limit fixed for the cost of such belts in the G.O. of 16th May 1908 is not exceeded
29-3-11

Fin S. -Vs-
30/3 To Br III
Br 1.4.11

Subd for orders.
Secs (122)
4-4-11.
SO (III)

6

The supply seems to be necessary.

To Br II for favour of remarks, if any.

SO
4/4/11

Revs 848
for Sec
4/4/11

Submitted
Br 138
5/4.
SO
6/4/11

Fin: Secy
Br
6.4.11

8

The existing orders do not provide for supplying laced belts to Subordinate Offices. The notes in the Connected file show that the intention of Govt was not for a general supply of laced belts, nor does it seem necessary or desirable to give

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

CA File No. 223 of 1910-11.

Serial No.

248

duffedars of Dl Inf Regts or Subdl Coms with lace belts. A mark such as that given to N. C. Officers in the arms "V" maybe put on the belt to distinguish the Duffedars if necessary. The cost of lace belt will be 13/8 while that of ordinary belt is only R 2/8. The extra for 5 Offrs will therefore be R 55/- for 3 years

Fd Secy) 11.4.11

M 20/4

Secretary

Sir M)

Subd for orders -

SW(11) 23/4/11 -

Q

Prep - draft declining

Sd

Ch / lo 21/4/11

Draft (Subd)

Secy (122) 21-4-11. SW(11) 21/4/11

22/4/11 Sd

Sec'y

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

11

I am inclined to think that Duffedar or Jemadars (ie the highest class of menials) ~~in~~ in attendance on officers of the rank of Dy Comm^r ~~or~~ and above may be given laced belts. In that view the Duffedars of the Dt Judges would get such belts. I would however like to see the file ~~said to be about the~~ of B^r D referred to in the office note on page 1 of the note.

25/4/11

To Br V

25/4/11

Subd. G.M. File N^o 194 - ~~of~~ 1910 is put up. The general question is still in its initial stage of enquiry as to which of the offices the privilege allowing laced belts to Daffedars, &c, should be ~~should~~ extended to.

6-5-11.

8/5/11

11/5/11

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Subd to Lee?

Kind reference is invited to the note in the file of the Fin' Branch. May the order issue

SH

19/5/11.

Better send draft to Mr Ll for concurrence

HB 20/5

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

CB File No. 223 of 1911

Serial No.

2nd Cr.

CSB
17/5/11

13) I agree with the Secy that laced belts may be given to Head Dalayats (Jamedar or Daffedar) of Dy Crs, D. Judges & above.

SA
17/5/11

14 18/5/11
Put up draft order

CSB
17/5/11

CS 15/5

Draft Subd -

SA
15/5/11

Sec (22) SN (11)
18-5-11. 18/5

Sec) 18/5/11. SA

Issued CSB 19/5/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 703 of 1914

7

Serial No.

15 To Bx Dd for Concurrence

Sd

20/5/14

H 848.

Submitted Under the proposed orders the cost would be Rs 22/- more (two Duffadars @ 11- cents)

16 In the rules it is stated that laced belts are begiven the menials of the highest class in the offices of the Dfpsd SJ Judges. There are 8 Dfp's & the cost will therefore be R 1 12 per teem. There is the further consideration which may be raised since if offices of analogous positions Comend claim that their Duffedars shd also have laced belts. While it is necessary to differentiate the highest menial from the rest the scale for these offices may it seems be confined to some reasonable limits & these allowed. R 13/8 is the scale laid down for the Duffedars of the various Secretariats and this scale does not seem unnecessary for other offices, nor have any offices been specially named in which Duffedars have been allowed belts of this cost. In these circumstances it is respectfully submitted that if laced belts have to be given a drift scale may be fixed.

Sd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-[illegible]

Serial No.

8x8

17. I am respectfully of opinion that any increase of recurring expenditure which can be avoided should not be sanctioned. There does not seem to be any necessity whatever of extending the circle of offices the head members in which are entitled to Local leave

CIS

Dr III
Srlkd.
CG (5-8)
30/5/14

M
29/5
6/6/14

30/5/11.

Sec'y

18. Resubmit to 2nd Cr with the opinion of Dr III which I regret was not taken before the file was first submitted to him.

2168

19. I think that Dy Crs and Dist. Judges stand on a higher rank than other Dist Offrs; and their position requires that some show of this sort ~~is necessary~~ should be countenanced. If however the Dewan agrees

CSB
30/5/14

SA
30/5/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS File No. 113 of 1911

Serial No.

with the Financial Secretary, orders may be issued declining the Concession -

31/8/11

Dewan

11882
1.6.11

20) CIB
Please circulate 1/6/11
to Members of Council SH
Vide my note & comments 1/6/11
Meeting with a specimen of the belt asked for & another of that now in use
Secy) — Asst Secy

Subs to Members of Council
BR
28/6/11

SH
21) 28/6/11

I am inclined to agree with the Financial Secretary. It is unnecessary to increase the splendour of the Insignia of the Medals which has answered well enough for so many years

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GRB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

ought to be sufficient, particularly when we are driven to the necessity of retrenching Establishts to meet necessary expenditure

22

29/6/11

23 Note for meeting

put up draft Sd

{ Write to Regr Chief Court & get the Specimen of the belts asked for

29/6/11

29/6/11

24

Submitted with a draft calling for the Specimen of belts. It is not known whether badges are also to be sent for.

Hd S (22) 1.7.1911 SH (1) 1.7.11

25

Sd Secy

8/7/11

1/7/11

2 To the Registrar, Chief Court
No 943 & 6.7.1911.
Calling for a Specimen of the belt asked for.

3 From the Same Officer, No 346/22 & 18.7.11.
No) Forwards the Specimen.
Subd. This may perhaps be sent

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
neral and Revenue Secretariat.

Ct File No. 223 of 1910-11

11

Serial No.

To the Council Section for further action

Hcs. (122) SW(11) 19.7.11 20/7

21/7/11.

Enquire if laced belts are not in use now?

CS 25/VII

27 Considered at Council meeting 25/VII. It is not clear whether laced belts have not been in use by jemadars & dafedars in the country Districts till now. (The specimen sent is a laced belt). This maybe made clear. A similar enquiry maybe made as regards Dy Coms also.

Perhaps drafts -

cb 30/8/11

CS 30/8/11

28

SB 30/8/11

Drafts Submitted.

Hcs SW(11) 4.9.11 5/9/11

2/9/11

11/9/11

29 Issue

CS 14/9/11

SB 14/9/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB.—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cb File No. 223 of 1910.

Serial No.	
4	To the Register, chief Court. J 1036 D 21-9-11. Enquires whether laced belts have not been in use by jamadars or Daffedars in the courts etc now
5	To the Revenue Commissioner J 1037 D 21-9-11. Requests him to ascertain and report to Govt whether laced belts are at present in use by the jamedars or Daffedars of the offices of the Deputy Commissioners of Districts;
6	From the Register, chief Court. No. 1577/127 D 12-10-11 States that the Daffedars of the Dist and Subordinate Judges' courts have been using laced belts.
7	From the Revenue Commissioner J No 3897 D 20-10-11. Furnishes information as to whether laced belts are at present in use by the jamedars and Daffedars of the offices of the D.C's of Districts.

Seabd
SA-151
27/10/11

It will be seen from the Registrar's letter that the Daffedars of the District and Subordinate Judges' Courts have been given laced belts.

31 Jamedars and Daffedars of the majority of the Deputy Commrs' offices have also been using laced belts.

Submitted to Sec 7 with reference to his note Supra

1/11/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

34 The existing practice ... may be recognised & the Jemadars & Dafadars of Dy Commrs, Dy Judges & Subjudges may be permitted to have laced belts & badges -

(Circulate to Crs) CSB 10/5/12

SH 10/5/12

35 May may have laced belts & leggings.

11/5/12

(DSP Cr) I agree

SH 14/5/12

16/5/12

1406 20-5-12

35 Please issue accordingly

(Secy) 18/5/12

Please put up Dy

23/5/12 SH 23/5/12

GRB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. 223 of 1910 – 11.

Serial No.

37. Draft Subd. It is not known whether the Concession should in the absence of any reference from the Revenue Commissioner be extended to the Daffedars and Jamadars of the Dy. Commrs offices, by means of this Draft Order.

38 (2) At the time of the original reference originates from the Chief Court, there were only two District Judges' Courts. Draft is worded in general terms so as to include the Bangalore Court recently established of late.

39 (3) It is not known whether it is necessary to Consult Bor II again as Rs 6 and 7 were not on the file when they were Consulted before. or whether the Draft may issue irrespective of the financial objection

Subd for orders.

ACS-12 24.9.12

We may wait for a reply

40 The draft may perhaps be sent to Bor II for Concurrence in the light of the further info received

Yes. please

28/9/12

To Bor II for Concurrence

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

25/9/12

GBB—20,000—20-1-12

1/10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS. File No. 222 of 1910-11

Serial No.

H dues 444

Subs for orders.

B/R 12/10/12 43 Moved perhaps bee better to calculate the actual Cost of these belts. Surely if annexure Cost Rs 18/8. B the practice has been long standing we may as well formally legalise it & the issue of this order let the Cost may also be entered. There seems to be no reason to free these belts as Cost as there in the act.

H Secy 1 12.10.12

44 Surely the Audit office has not passed any laced belt charges for peons & menials of other offices than the Secretariats since the order of 16.5.1908.

45 Under that order belts costing more than Rs 2/8 each cannot be given in the cases referred to.

46 It is not difficult to get decent belts for Rs 2/8 or if the distinction has to be distinguished this may be done by extra stripes &c without appreciable addl cost.

47 I respectfully adhere to my opinion of 29.5.11 (page 8 of these notes)

12/10/12

B/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

16 10960b 11-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C— File No. 223 of 1911-12

Serial No.

Subs for orders.

HCS 12

18-11-12

SD

18/11.

Sri) Was his Final Secy does not acquiesce in the order proposed & he wishes him to submit for his orders & then Decision

5

11-11-12

WB

7/11

SD

7/11/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GBB—20,000—20-1-12

Submitted to His Highness.

50 These papers relate to the recommendation of the Chief Court for the grant of laced belts to the Daffedars of the District and Subordinate Judges' Courts

51 2. It is reported that the Daffedars of these Courts have all along been given laced belts and that what is now required is a recognition of the old practice under Rule (4) of the Government order of the 16th May 1908, under which laced belts costing not more than Rs.13-8-0 may be given, once in three years, to the Daffedars of the offices to be specially named by the Government.

U
9687-19
9.44-36-07-34

52 3. As will be seen from the papers, there has been some difference of opinion on the subject. The Financial Secretary and the First Councillor(Mr.Puttanna Chetty) have expressed themselves against the grant of these costly belts, while the late Dewan agreed with Mr.Nanjundayya, then Second Councillor, that the Chief Court's recommendation might be sanctioned.

pp 7-8
+
9 - 10
of the office
notes
Page 13

53 4. I have since ascertained the practice in Madras It is learnt that laced belts costing Rs.20-8-0 are supplied to the Daffedars of the District and Subordinate Judges' Courts in the Madras Presidency at an interval of not less than four years. As compared to this, the proposal of the Chief Court is, in my opinion, moderate The maximum cost prescribed in our rules is Rs.13-8-0 and it may not be necessary to spend the whole amount. The Chief Court may be requested to see that the actual expenditure on these belts is kept down to the minimum requirements. The belts may be issued once in four

9
vide d.o.letter no. 5631-13 dtd 12.6.14.

as in the Madras Presidency.

55 5. With these modifications, the proposal of the Chief Court to continue the old practice of providing laced belts to the Daffedars of the District and Subordinate Judges' Courts may be kindly sanctioned.

M. Viswanaya -

25th Dec. 1913 -

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Proposal of the Chief Court to continue the old practice of providing laced belts to the Daffedars of the District and Subordinate Judges' Courts.

546

Order.

~~KRAW~~

13/1/14

Sanctn

13.1.14.

16/1

16/1

G. R. S. 12]

631-43

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cls File No. 223 of 1910-11

Serial No.	
	The draft already put up is resubd with necessary additions as per para 4 of the submission note Ug. -116 21/1/14 (687) 22/1/14
	Dy Secy Draft approved 22/1
8	To The Registrar, Chief Court The Comptroller, Nepaul No J 2294-S Dy 12-2-14 Directed that the Daffedars of the Courts of the Dist & Sub Judges be given laced belts in accordance with the scale prescribed in Rule 4 of App B of the G.O. Dy 16-5-08 and request the Chief Court to see that the actual expenditure on the belts is kept down to the minimum requirements and that the belts are not issued at an interval of less than four yrs.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

J2418/ch223-1.

No: 4108/261

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore dated 4th March 1911

From.

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore

To. The Hon'ble Secretary to Government,
General & Rev: Depts.

Sir.

Adverting to correspondence ending with Proceedings of Govt: No. a. 4016 - 4017/ G. M. 194-10-6 dated 9th January 1911, authorizing the issue of Lacet bells to the Daffedars of this Court in accordance with the scale laid down in Rule (4) of

x

the

the Rules issued in Appendix B.
of Government Proceedings No. 9
67-87-819 dated 16th May 1908,
I am directed to state that the
subordinate judges of Bangalore
and Mangalore have applied for
sanction to the issue of similar
bells to the Daffedars of their
courts. I am therefore to
request that orders of Government
may be obtained, authorizing
the issue of laced bells to
the Daffedars of the two
District judges' courts and
of the 3 Subordinate judges'
courts

courts in the State in accordance with the fixed scale.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

[signature]

Registrar.

2408 47/2:45

G.F.S. 26]

(4

File No. 223-10

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 43.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(cls)

, *the* 19 .

To

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

Date of issue 6th July 1911

Signed by Mr S. Hiriyannaiya

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 4108/261 dated the 4th March 1911 recommending the issue of laced belts to the Daffedars of the Courts of the District Judges and Subjudges in the State, I am directed to request you to be so good

over.

SUBJECT.

as to forward to ~~Gate~~ this
office a specimen of the
belt asked for and ~~another~~
of that now in use.

I have &c

For Secy.

SNV(11)
1.9.11

List of enclosures,

Copied by GB. 6/7

Examined by SNV

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

No. 346/22 Genl.

J 199
Cts 223-10-3

B A N G A L O R E ,
Dated 18th July 1911.
19"

From,

V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar, Esquire,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

S i r ,

With reference to your letter No. J.43/Cts.223-10-2 dated 6th Instant I am directed to forward a laced belt which is now being used by the Duffedar of the Bangalore Sub Court and to state that the new belts, the issue of which was recommended by this Court, may be of the same pattern.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Rajaratna Mudaliar

A.V. REGISTRAR.

M.

99/14-9-14

G.R.S. 26]

CS File No. 223/CO

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 1036.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(CS)
, *the* 19 .

To The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

Date of issue 21-9-11

Signed by Mr S. Hiriyannaya

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 846/22 dated the 18th July 1911 regarding the issue of laced belts to the Daffedars of the Courts of the District & Judges and Sub Judges, I am directed to enquire whether laced belts

over.

SUBJECT.

CS 15/9/11

have not been in use
by Jamadars or Daffedars
in those Courts till now
~~the forms of an early~~
~~application is required~~.

I have &c,

For Secy

SW. (11)
5/9/11

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—23-9-10

699
14/5.

G.R.S. 26]

+ 2415

File No. 223/10
Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J/1034.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(cls)
, the 19 .

To
The Rev. Commissioner
Mysore.

Date of issue 21-9-11

Signed by mr S. Hiriyannaiya.

Sir,

I am directed to request you to be so good as to ascertain and report to Govt whether laced belts are at present in use by the Jamadars or Daffedars of the offices of the Dy Commissioners of Districts. I have &c

for Secy

over.

SUBJECT. SN(11) 579/11

18/9/11

No. 15-44/124

Chief Court of Mysore

Bangalore, 12th/13th October 1911.

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To.

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. J 1036/cts/223-10-4 dated 21st. September 1911 enquiring whether laced belts have not been in use by Duffedars in the courts of the District Judges and Subordinate Judges till now, I am directed to state that the Duffedars of these Courts have been using laced belts. But as the courts of the District and Subordinate Judges have not been specially named as required by Appendix B to the Rules regarding the supply of clothing to menials (Govt. Pro. No. 6787-819/G.M. 36-07-39 dated 16th. May 1908) Government have been addressed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Registrar.

NB.

J 1096 (11)

1911

1911 1911-12 pt

Revision Court

No. 223-6

From Rajasabha Bhushana

K.P.Puttanna Chetty, Esquire,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Dated 20th October 1911.

Despatched 25

Received

General No. 3897.

Enclosure No. 8

SUBJECT.

Furnishing information on whether laced belts are at present in use by the Jamadars and Daffedars of the offices of Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Caxton Press, Bangalore, 1000—9—11.

(2)

No. 3897 / 8

OFFICE OF THE REV. COMR. IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 20th October 1911. 191

25

FROM

Rajasabha Bhushana

K. P. Puttanna Chetty, Esquire,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. J. 1037/Cts. 223-10-5 dated 21st September 1911, enquiring whether laced belts are at present in use by the Jamedars or Daffedars of the offices of the Deputy Commissioners of Districts, I have the honor to state as follows:-

1 2 3

The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Kolar, Tumkur,

4 5

Mysore and Shimoga state that laced belts are at present in use by the Jamadars and Daffedars of their offices. The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, states that the Jamadar's belt has laced borders besides three laced stripes stiched on the belt as shown in the margin, and that the daffedar's belt has only three laced stripes. The Deputy Commissioner. Kadur. states that only laced bordered davalis are being used in his office, and that no laced belts are being used by the Jamadars and Daffedars. The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, states that the belts used by the Jamadar and Daffedar of his office are made of red tweed or banath, and the one used by the former has

laced

laced lines throughout the borders, while the latter has only three laced lines just above the badge.

x

1. Letter No. R.C. 220 dated 13th October 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. 2. Letter No. 2973/198 dated 14th October 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District. 3. Docket No. 237 dated 16th October 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District. 4. Letter No. 314 dated 1st October 1911, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District. 5. Docket No. 167 dated 10th October 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District. 6. Docket No. 241 dated 9th October 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District. 7. Letter No. 175 dated 7/10th October 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District. 8. Docket No. 220 dated 17th October 1911 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.	2. The marginally noted raports received from the several Deputy Commissioners

are also submitted for reference, in original.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Revenue Commissioner.

M.N.

19 3720

From The Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To The Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 13th
Despatched 13th } October 1911.
Received

General No.
RC No. 220
Enclosure No. —

States that laced belts are now used by jamadar and daffedar of this office

Asst-

1 K D

Rent has been stopped
P K D
14 LB 11

R.C. No 220.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE DISTRICT,
DATED, BANGALORE 13th October 1911.

From,
B. J. Kumarasami Naik Esquire B.A.
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To, R. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire
Revenue Commissioner in
Mysore.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 3376-83, dated 25th/29th September 1911, I have the honor to state that, laced belts are at present in use by the Jamadar and Daffadar of this office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

H. Ramaswamy
for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Accts. — Compile obs
16/10/11

No. 3777
190
Date 16-10-11

From
P. F. Bowring Esquire
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To
K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore.

Dated 14th October 1911.
Despatched
Received

General No. 2973
No. 158
Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 3376-83 dated the 29th September 1911, I have the honour to state that lace belts are in use by the Jamedar and the Duffedar of this office. Besides these, the Duffedars of the Sub-Divisional Offices of Malur and Chikballapur

GPB—75 reams—25-5-10

SL.

Divisions have also been supplied
with Lead belts.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

W Rennison
For Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Encl to Col 237 1911

3887
1911

From 19.10.11.
M. Shama Rao Esqr. M.A.
Offg: Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To
Rajasabha Bhushana.
K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esqr.
Revenue Commissioner

Dated 16th October 1911
Despatched 17th — Do —.
Received

General No. 237
No.

Enclosure No

In reply to his memo No 3376-83 dated the 25/29th September 1911, enquiring whether laced belts are in use by the Jamadars and Duffedar of the Deputy Commissioner's Office, has the honor to reply that laced belts are being used by the Jamadar and Duffedar of this Office.

M. Shama Rao
Offg: Deputy Commissioner

2nd

Accts
Collect Sh
19/10/11

SR.

3664
1911-12.

From 12-10-11

P.R.W. Wetherall Esquire
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

K.P. Puttanna Chetty Esq.
Revenue Commissioner in Myso
Bangalore.

Dated 16th October 1911.

Despatched

Received

General No. 167

No. —R

Enclosure No.

In reply to his official Memorandum No: 3376-83 dated the 25/29th September 1911, re — the laced belts in use by the Jamedars or the Duffedars of this office, has the honor to state that the Jamedar's belt has laced borders besides three laced stripes sticked on the belt as shown in the margin, and that the Daffedar's belt has only three laced stripes.

M. Srinivasulu
For Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

Comp
Cords

GPB—1,50,000—20-6-11

C

3694

1911 13-6-11

From K. Srinivasa Rao Esqr.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District
Shimoga.

To L. P. Puttanna chetty Esqr.
Revenue Commissioner,
in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated 9th October 1911

Despatched

Received

General No. 241
No.

Enclosure No.

His no. 3376-83 dated 25th September 1911, forwarding copy of Secretary's letter — enquiring if laced belts are at present used by jemadars and duffadars of Deputy commissioner's Offices

---

In reply has the honor to state that laced belts are being used by the jemadars and the duffadars of this Office.

D. Srinivasa Rao
for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga

R/Certified
13.6.11

GPB.—70 reams—27-12-09

3645
1911-12
11-10-11

From, The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To, The Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 7th Oct: 1911.
Despatched 10th Do-
Received
General No. 175.
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the Jemadars & Duffedars of the Kadur District wear lace borders Davalis but no laced belts.

Collect fbr
12/10/11

GPB—75 reams—25-5-10

Pol

C. 2 NCD 223-10)
1911-12.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District,
Camp - Mysore, 7th Oct 1911.

From,
K. R. Srinivasaiyar, Esq. M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District -

To,
Rāja Sabhā Bhūshana.
K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire,
Revenue Commissioner,
in Mysore -

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 3376-83 dated the 29th ultimo, forwarding letter No. J. 1037/Cls 223-10-5 dated the 21st September 1911 from the Secretary to Government enquiring whether laced belts are used by the Jemadars and Duffedars of my office, I have the honor to state that only lace bordered davālis are being used and no laced belts are being used by the Jemadars and Duffedars.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. R. Srinivasaiyar
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Enclosure 3564.

19 1870-11

From K. Chandy Esquire B.

Deputy Commissioner,

CHITALDROOG.

To

K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

BANGALORE.

Dated

Despatched 17 October 1911

Received

General No. 220

No.

Enclosure No.

His memo No. 3376-83 dated 25th/29th September 1911.

--------oOo---------

In reply, has the honor to state that the belts used by the Jamadar and Daffedar of this office are made of red tweed or banath and the one used by the former has laced lines throughout the borders, while the latter has only three laced lines just above the badge.

For Deputy Commissioner,

S.V. Chitaldroog District.

18/10/11

GPB—1,50,000—2[illegible]11

SU

2

Lace belts to Daffedars of the Dist & Sub ordinate Judges' courts

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 223-10

Serial No. 8.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

8 (1)

, *the* 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ— Letter No. 4108/267 dated the 4th March 1911 from the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, requesting sanction for the issue of

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

laces belts to Daffedars of the two District Judges' Courts and of the three Subordinate Judges' Courts in

over

SUBJECT.

accordance with the Scale prescribed in Rule (4) of Appendix B. of Govt Proc. N° 96787-819 Gm. 86-07-39 dated the 16 May 1908.

(2) Correspondence ending with letters N°s 1577/127 and 3897 dated the 12th and 20th October 1911 from the Same officers and the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore respectively, on the Subject.

Order thereon N:- 32294-5/Ch 223-10-8 & 12/2/14

It is reported that the Daffedars of the District and Subordinate Judges' Courts have all along been given laced belts and that what is now required is a recognition of the existing practice, under Rule (4) of Appx. B of the Govt Order of 16th may 1908.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

referred to above. Govt are accordingly pleased to direct that the Daffedars of the Courts of the District and Subordinate Judges be given laced belts in accordance with the Scale prescribed in the aforesaid Rule.

2. The Chief Court is requested to see that the actual expenditure on these belts is kept down to the minimum requirements and that the belts are not issued at an interval of less than four years.

SS
5/12

Sect to Govt

SS

To

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

(returning the speaking order)

a Compr to Govt through Br II

~~a Rev Comms in Mysore~~

~~Copy to Br II~~

~~Copy to - Br V~~

Draft
Reg 122
24/9/12 BVR.

Exd.
11/9/14